## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

## Before the Honorable Gregory J. Fouratt United States Magistrate Judge

**Clerk's Minutes** 

Civ. No. 22cv360 DHU/GJF

Escano v. RCI, LLC

**Date of Hearing: 10/24/24** (not recorded)

**For Plaintiff**: Ruben Escano

**Attorneys for Defendants**: Joseph Wylie

Melanie Stambaugh

**Proceedings**: Telephonic Status Conference

Start Time: 11:00 a.m.
Stop Time: 11:14 a.m.
Total Time: 14 minutes

Clerk: AEM

## **Notes:**

- The Court explained that the purpose of the conference was to discuss holding a settlement conference.
- Mr. Escano explained that the parties had been engaged in settlement negotiations and had
  discussed requesting a settlement conference with the Court even before the Court set the
  status conference for that purpose.
- The Court advised that Judge Urias had expressed willingness to relieve the pressure of trial if it would promote settlement efforts.
- The Court reminded the parties that Local Rule 73.4 permits them to request that another Magistrate Judge, other than the one to whom the case is assigned, preside over a settlement conference. The Court emphasized that it would not take such a request personally.
- Noting that the final pretrial order indicated that the parties believed a settlement conference could be helpful in resolving the case, Mr. Wylie confirmed that the parties had agreed to reach out to the Court to request a settlement conference.

- Mr. Wylie explained that the parties had already exchanged offers and counteroffers and that Defendant was waiting to hear back from Plaintiff in response to its most recent counteroffer.
- Mr. Wylie further suggested that the Court's familiarity with the case would make a settlement conference before the assigned Magistrate Judge more efficient.
- After discussing the parties' and the Court's availability, the Court indicated that it would set an in-person settlement conference for December 10, 2024.
- The Court advised that it would enter an Order setting the conference and providing various deadlines and that chambers would reach out to schedule *ex parte* calls with the Court.
- The Court indicated that it would inform Judge Urias that the parties had agreed to participate in a December 10, 2024 settlement conference.
- The Court observed that a Clerk's Entry of Default had been entered against Defendant MXRRU [ECF 135] but Plaintiff had not yet moved for default judgment as to that Defendant. The Court suggested that this may be a topic to discuss with Judge Urias at the Call of the Calendar.
- The Court adjourned.